## **KU LEUVEN**

## Motivation

• Banks need to have their own funds - equity/capital - to cover possible losses

- The capital determines how much **risk** banks can take
- The regulator asks banks to have sufficient capital based on:
- 1. "One-size-fits-all" framework

### 2. Banks' internal models

- Banks incur **penalties** if the internal model does not properly predict risk
- These penalties comprise additionally required capital (up to
- 1/3 more) and possibly a model revision

## Q: What is the effect of regulation on (a) model choices and (b) model performance?

### Mechanism:

- Banks: how much capital does a model result in?
- Regulator: how well does a model predict risk?
- Banks know their true risk model (better)
- The regulator does not (and relies on what banks report)

#### This paper

- <u>Theory</u>: identify optimal combination of capital and penalties to ensure truthful reporting
- <u>Empirics</u>: test whether the existing regulation **improves banks**' risk model quality

### Data

- 17 banks from Europe, Canada and the USA over 2002-2019
- Hand-collected data on the self-reported risk model outcomes and revisions: quarterly, annual and Pillar III reports
- Supervision data: Bank Regulation and Supervision Survey
- **Balance sheet data:** SNL, Orbis, Fitch
- Volatility data: St. Louis Fed, Eikon

# Banks' Next Top Model

Elizaveta Sizova

KU Leuven

elizaveta.sizova@kuleuven.be

## **Basel Framework for Internal Models**

# Risk Underreporting	$\Delta$ Capital	$\Delta$ Capital	Supervisory action
in the Past Year	(1996)	(2022)	Supervisory action
0	0.00	0.00	
1	0.00	0.00	
Green 2	0.00	0.00	None
3	0.00	0.00	
4	0.00	0.00	
5 6 7	0.40 0.50 0.65	0.20 0.26 0.33	May disallow the model
9	0.05	0.42	
≥ 10	1.00	0.5	Disallows the model
	in the Past Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the Past Year (1996) 0 0.00 1 0.00 2 0.00 3 0.00 4 0.00 5 0.40 6 0.50 7 0.65 8 0.75 9 0.85	in the Past Year (1996) (2022)   0 0.00 0.00   1 0.00 0.00   2 0.00 0.00   3 0.00 0.00   4 0.00 0.00   5 0.40 0.20   6 0.50 0.26   7 0.65 0.33   8 0.75 0.38   9 0.85 0.42

Capital =  $(3+\Delta)$  x Risk • Risk-sensitive capital and penalties • Penalties: mechanism to achieve the optimal capital requirement • Risk models: tool to deal with

uncertainty about penalties



## **Testable Prediction**

- & Mongelli, 2021)

• Solution: use model revisions as more risk-averse banks should revise their models more to better predict risk and decrease uncertainty about penalties if the model does not perform well • <u>Problem 2</u>: model revisions are endogenous • <u>Solution</u>: (i) IV; (ii) 2013 change in capital regulation for US banks as a quasi-exogenous shock to their risk reporting requirements

## **Results and Contribution**

The current regulation is ineffective in incentivising better model choices and better model performance

• Banks tend not to use models to reduce uncertainty about penalties • Using new models is associated with more underreporting of risk • Following the change in regulation, banks with larger trading activities are those who enjoy lower capital requirements <u>Contribution</u>: 1) to the theoretical literature on incentive problems in capital regulation (Cuoco & Liu, 2006; Colliard, 2019; Leitner and Yilmaz, 2019) 2) to the empirical literature on the (mis)use of internal risk models (Begley et al. 2017, Mariathasan et al., WP 2021)

## **Policy Implications**

Empirical evidence suggests that the current penalties are insufficient to ensure truthful disclosure: • Lower reported risk has two effects on capital requirements: (i) lower capital requirement based on the reported risk (ii) (possibly) more risk underreporting cases  $\Rightarrow$  if too many, higher capital requirement due to penalties • To incentivise banks, regulation should be such that the penalty effect dominates

• Recent revisions of regulation may further impair truthful reporting:  $\blacktriangleright \Delta$  Capital is halved as of 2022 (Basel Committee, 2019)

## • It is optimal to penalise more risk-averse banks less • <u>Problem 1</u>: only weak proxies for banks' risk aversion (Camba-Méndez