#### The Billion Prices Project Research and Inflation Measurement Applications

#### Alberto Cavallo MIT

European Central Bank April 2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ⊇▶ ▲ ⊇▶ → ⊇ → ∽ < ↔

#### Micro Price Data in Macroeconomics

- Data Sources
  - Statistical Offices (CPI, PPI, IPI)
  - Scanner Data (eg. Nielsen)
  - Online Data (eg. Billion Prices Project)
- Uses
  - Research in Macroeconomics
    - Price Dynamics (Price Stickiness, Real Rigidities)
    - Market Segmentation
  - Research in International Economics
    - Pass-through and Border Effects
    - Law of One Price and PPP
    - Real Exchange Rates
  - Measuring inflation and other economic indicators

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三星

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

#### **CPI** Data

• Purpose: measure inflation

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Representative sample <ul> <li>carefully-chosen goods</li> <li>many retailers and locations</li> </ul> </li> <li>Long Time Series</li> <li>Collection of 'posted prices in stores</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very costly to collect and access</li> <li>Low frequency (monthly)</li> <li>Limited number of goods and varieties</li> <li>Some unit values and imputed prices</li> <li>Difficult international comparisons</li> </ul>

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ● ● ● ●

#### Scanner Data

• Purpose: marketing analytics (eg. market shares)

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Granularity <ul> <li>Some product details for all goods <i>sold</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Transaction data <ul> <li>Contains quantities and sometimes costs</li> </ul> </li> <li>Frequency (weekly)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High cost to collect/acquire</li> <li>Limited coverage (supermarkets, department stores)</li> <li>Data characteristics vary greatly depending on provider, location, time period, etc.</li> <li>Extremely difficult to compare internationally</li> <li>Unit values and time-averages (eg: prices are often calculated as sales/quantity in a week)</li> </ul>

**Online** Data

- Can be collected using automated web-scraping software
- Every day, a *robot* downloads a public webpage, analyses its HTML code, extract price data, and stores it in a database



#### <html>

....

<!-- START product --> <ahref="productId=MD963LL"></a> Ipad Mini Smart Cover – Dark Grey \$39.00 <!-- END product -->

イロト イ伊ト イヨト イヨト

э.

SOG

**Online** Data

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Frequency (daily)</li> <li>Cheap to collect (but complicated)</li> <li>Granularity <ul> <li>All product details (brands, size, anything shown online)</li> <li>All goods and varieties available for sale (census)</li> <li>New goods automatically sampled</li> </ul> </li> <li>Easier to compare internationally</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fewer retailer and locations than CPI</li> <li>Short time series</li> <li>Not all categories of goods and services are online (not yet)</li> <li>Online and Offline prices may behave differently</li> </ul>

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ の�?

#### **Online Data in Macro and International Research**

- Billion Prices Project at MIT
  - Daily data from 2008 to the present
  - Sample of retailers and countries has grown over time (hundreds of retailers in 70 countries)

イロト イヨト イヨト 「ヨ」

- We have written papers on:
  - Price Stickiness
  - Border Effects
  - Law of One Price
  - Inflation Measurement

#### **Research Examples: Price Stickiness**

- We use online data to re-evaluate puzzling styled facts coming from CPI or scanner data
- For example, in contrast to the literature, we find that in most retailers and countries price-change distributions are bi-modal, with little mass close to zero percent.
  - Consistent with state-dependent models of price adjustment where small price changes are not optimal (given the existence of an adjustment or menu'cost).



A D > A A > A >

See Cavallo (2012) Scraped Data and Sticky Prices", Cavallo & Rigobon (2012) "The Distribution of the Size of Price Changes"

#### Research Examples : Law of One Price (LOP)

- Cavallo, Neiman, and Rigobon (2014) QJE. "Currency Unions, Product Introductions, and the Real Exchange Rate"
- We evaluate LOP deviations using a large dataset of <u>identical</u> tradeable goods, sold by <u>global</u> retailers in three industries and <u>dozens</u> of countries (Apple, Ikea, Zara, H&M, and others)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Good-level RERs $q_{ij}$ for j = United States



▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ♪ ▲

## Good-level RERs $q_{ij}$ for j = Spain



#### Research Examples : Law of One Price (LOP)

Average Absolute Value of Good-Level Log RER						
		All Stores	Apple	IKEA	H&M	Zara
All Data All Data All Data	Currency Unions NER Pegs Floats	0.062 0.149 0.182	0.005 0.047 0.139	0.117 0.164 0.185	0.021 0.141 0.152	0.087 0.142 0.192

#### • Two main findings:

- LOP holds within Currency Unions, fails otherwise (even in pegged regimes)
- A new decomposition shows that the RER at the time of product introduction is most important (yet not reflected in traditional CPI-based RERs), and moves closely with the Nominal Exchange Rate.

《曰》《母》《言》《言》

- Argentina's inflation data is widely questioned
  - Statistical Office "intervened" in 2007.
  - Since then CPI inflation has been stable around 10%
  - Inflation expectations have been consistently above 25%



 Using scraped data, I showed that online price indices could closely match CPIs in four other Latin American countries



Figure 2: Online and Official Indexes - Annual Inflation Rate

< = > < = > < = > < = >

#### Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Venezuela

Table 3: Online vs Official Series

Argentina	Brazil	Chile	Colombia	Venezuela
Mean Annual Inflation (%) Online Index	4.72	3	4.88	27.43
Official Index	5.91	3.19	3.73	29.38

- Matching is best on Chile and Colombia, where:
  - Supermarkets have larger market shares (27% and 30%, vs. only 15% in Brazil)
  - City where online data is collected accounts for most of the CPI (55% in Santiago)
- Good news for Argentina! → the supermarket I used had 28% market share, Buenos Aires is 100% of CPI data

Argentina`s inflation rate

## Argentina

(a) Daily Index



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2

DQC

Note: Log scale

Argentina`s inflation rate

## Argentina





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2

- How to best approximate the official inflation rate?
- Answer: Take the true inflation rate (online), and divide by 3.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Update: New Price Index launched in Jan 2014



#### Online Data and Daily Inflation Measurement

- In 2008 we started publishing a daily online index for Argentina
- In 2010, we started publishing a daily index for the US on the BPP website
- Since 2011, PriceStats has been publishing daily inflation indices in 22 countries in real-time (3-day lag).



< ロ > < 同 > < 連 > < 連 >

#### **Online Data and Daily Inflation**



+ Global Series: Developed, Developing, Eurozone - All items, Fuel, Food

#### The Process Requires Three Stages

#### **Technology & Processes**



< ロ > < 同 > < 重 > < 重

#### **Online Price Indices vs Official CPIs**

 We focus on <u>measurement</u> of the <u>same phenomenon</u> (inflation) with an <u>alternative source</u> of data

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Compared to CPIs, three main characteristics
  - Congruence
  - Differences in the short run
  - Anticipation of major changes in inflation trends

#### **US Daily Price Index**



Source: BPP - PriceStats - BLS (CPI-U, US city-average, all items, NSA). Updated until 7/17/2012.

#### **US** Annual Inflation



## **US Monthly Inflation**



Source: BPP - PriceStats - BLS (CPI-U, US city-average, all items, NSA)

#### **Eurozone Index**



#### **Eurozone Annual Inflation**



▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ の�?

### **Annual Inflation Rates in Other Countries**



Dec 1, 11 Jun 1, 12 Dec 1, 12

< □ > < □ >

< E

Source: PriceStats - StateStreet

590

Supermarket

Index

## Differences: Online vs Offline Markets

- Are online prices representative of the economy?
  - Online sales are still only about 10% of retail sales in developed countries
- However:...
  - Online and Offline market are tightly integrated in many countries (people search online even when they end up buying offline)
  - Studies with simultaneous sampling show retailers tend to have either identical online and offline prices (eg: Apple, Ikea, Zara, H&M, Cavallo et al 2014) or stable online 'markups' (see Cavallo (2012))
  - The `online store` is effectively the *largest* store for most retailers
    - Eg: Walmart has 4759 stores in the US. The median store has 0.02% of sales. The `online store` has 8% of sales
- Still, as always with macro, the right answer is "it depends" (on the country, on the particular conditions, etc).

#### **Differences: Quality Adjustments**

- Many complex techniques applied in CPI methods, such as hedonic quality adjustments, are needed because the data has inherent limitations
- Online data has "big data" advantages:
  - uncensored spells (automatically included at introduction)
  - all varieties/models on display



< □ ▶ < ㈜ ▶

#### **Differences: Quality Adjustments**

 Simple indices can approximate the level and trend of CPI inflation in hedonic-adjusted categories (as suggested in Silver & Heravi (99), Aizcorbe, Corrado & Doms (2003))

Implication: online series tend to be smoother than CPIs



< ロ > < 同 > < 直 > < 直 >

### Anticipation

- We consistently find that online prices tend to anticipate changes in inflation trends.
  - This goes beyond the ability to collect and publish the data faster
  - Online prices tend to *react faster* to shocks.
  - Why?
    - Lower adjustment (or menu) costs
    - Online shoppers may be less sensitive to price changes
    - More intense and transparent competition
- We can study the link between online data and CPIs using simple VARs.
  - VAR regressions with  $\Delta$  CPI on the LHS and lags of  $\Delta$  CPI and  $\Delta$ PS on the RHS (monthly data)
  - Impulse responses show the impact of a 1% shock in PriceStats on future CPI (reflecting additional information not contained in lagged CPI)

#### Impulse Response USA

#### Cummulative IRF - 1% Shock to PriceStats Aggregate Inflation



#### Impulse Response Eurozone

#### Cummulative IRF - 1% Shock to PriceStats Aggregate Inflation



## Impulse Responses for US Sectors

- There are differences in anticipation across US sectors
  - Shortest in Fuel (1 month)
  - Longest in Recreation ( 5 months)



Source: PriceStats - Data until June 2013

## Other variables?

- Do online prices add more information than Gas Prices?
- We can test it with a simple extension:
  - **1.** Run a regression of  $\triangle$ CPI on lags of  $\triangle$ CPI.
  - **2.** Regress the residuals of 1) on  $\Delta$  Gas Prices.
  - 3. Regress the residuals of 2) on  $\triangle$  PS Aggregate and  $\triangle$  PS Transportation (US)

Result		
s	R2	
1)	38.915%	
2)	60.596%	
3)	22.325%	

- PriceStats series explain 22% of the variability of CPI inflation that is not explained by Gas Prices and lagged CPI
- This is all within-sample

- We forecast the US CPI inflation rate for 24 months, from January 2012 to January 2014.
- Each month t we first estimate the following model:

 $\Delta \log \operatorname{CPI}_{t-1,t-2} = c_0 + \alpha_0 \Delta \log \operatorname{HFD}_{t-1,t-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i \Delta \log \operatorname{HFD}_{t-1-l,t-2-l} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \Delta \log \operatorname{CPI}_{t-1-l,t-2-l}$ 

- Each variable is first seasonally adjusted by regressing it on monthly dummies and using the residuals.
- The high frequency data (HFD) is either Gas Prices (values from last week of month) or any of the PriceStats (PS) series (values from the last day of month).
- We try models with different number of lags  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and using different estimation windows  $W \in \{24, 36, 48, 60 \text{ months}\}$
- We compute the fitted value for ΔlogCPI<sub>t,t-1</sub> using the coefficients from the previous regression and the high frequency data available on month t. This forecast is repeated for 24 months.

- We tried alternative models using
  - Only CPI
  - CPI and Gas Prices
  - CPI and PriceStats Series

	MAE	RMSE
Regressors	%	%
CPI	0.164	0.221
CPI + Gas Prices	0.101	0.149
CPI + PS Aggregate	0.143	0.184
CPI + PS Food + PS Transportation	0.110	0.134
CPI + PS Aggregate + PS Transportation	0.085	0.117

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二番

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Note: Averages of models with 1, 2, and 3 lags

 Errors for model with W=24, seasonally adjusted, average of lags



Cumulative Distribution of Abs Value of Errors



• We repeated the same exercise, but this time forecasting the inflation from t to t+i, where i ∈ {2, 3, 4, 5 months}



i = 2





i = 5



i = 4



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Conclusions

- Online prices have the potential to dramatically increase the amount and quality of micro price data available for academic research.
  - Data characteristics help re-evaluate old empirical puzzles and answer questions that could not be tackled before
- Online data can also be a reliable source of information for inflation measurement
  - Congruence, differences, and heterogeneity
  - ``Big data`` characteristics can greatly *simplify* measurement
  - Best when used as an alternative source of data, not as a separate sector that needs special treatment
- Online prices tend to anticipate changes in inflation trends
  - Typical anticipation is 2-3 months
  - Provides unique information in real-time, different from other sources such as gas prices,
  - Particularly useful to forecast times of inflation ``surprises``
- Access to online data will become widespread in the following years.

#### ▲□▶▲母▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ 少�?